

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/089,380	SAITO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel M Sullivan	1636	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Daniel M Sullivan.

(3) _____.

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 June 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informed Applicant's representative that there appeared to be pages missing from the scanned image of the amendment filed 3 July 2004. The Examiner requested that the amendment be resubmitted so that the complete document could be scanned into the record..